



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

| APPLICATION NO.       | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/591,124            | 10/03/2006  | Abraham J. Domb      | 27617U              | 7340             |
| 20529                 | 7590        | 11/09/2009           | EXAMINER            |                  |
| THE NATH LAW GROUP    |             |                      | HALL, DEANNA K      |                  |
| 112 South West Street |             |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
| Alexandria, VA 22314  |             |                      | 3767                |                  |
| MAIL DATE             |             | DELIVERY MODE        |                     |                  |
| 11/09/2009            |             | PAPER                |                     |                  |

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|                              |                        |                     |  |
|------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| <b>Office Action Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b> | <b>Applicant(s)</b> |  |
|                              | 10/591,124             | DOMB ET AL.         |  |
|                              | <b>Examiner</b>        | <b>Art Unit</b>     |  |
|                              | DEANNA K. HALL         | 3767                |  |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 June 2009.  
 2a) This action is FINAL.                    2b) This action is non-final.  
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application.  
 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-17 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  
 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.  
 6) Claim(s) 18-34 is/are rejected.  
 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.  
 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  
 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
     Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
     Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
 a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:  
     1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
     2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
     3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

|  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)          | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ .                                    |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)          | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.   | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ .                        |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### *Acknowledgments*

1. This office action is in response to the reply filed on June 2, 2009.
2. In the reply, the applicant withdrew claims 1-17; amended claims 18-24; added new claims 25-34.
3. The 112, second paragraph rejection of claims 18 and 21-24 are withdrawn due to applicant's amendments of the claims.
4. Due to the generally non-substantive nature of the amendments as discussed with applicant's representative Ari Zytcer via a telephone conference, the finality of the office action of 9/21/09 is withdrawn.

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102*

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

6. **Claims 18-22, 26-29, 31-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sun et al. (US 2002/0115957) (“Sun”).** Sun discloses a sponge [0050] comprising a porous structure and a data transmitting module [0087]. The porous structure configured to absorb and hold at least 30% w/w aqueous solutions without dissolving or disintegrating and the data transmitting module configured sufficient to transmit data indicative of one or more of sponge

size and surface area of contact of the sponge with tissue of a subject are intended use limitations. The device of Sun is capable of performing these intended functions in the sclera or cornea tissue of a patient.

The transmitting module of Sun is a chip 622, Fig. 6. It is inherent that the transmitting module is coated with a water protecting coat as to not disrupt the electronic conductivity with the electronic control unit 626 and conductive wire 624. The chip is a type of micro transmitter.

The sponge further comprises a non-hydrophilic polymer such as polyurethane and a hydrophilic substance having at least one functional group such as a hydroxyl group [0050].

The sponge (reservoir) of Sun comprises a charged drug [0050] such as an anesthetic [0003]; or an antibiotic such as gentamycin [0027].

#### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

**8. Claims 23-25, 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sun in view of Nicolais et al. (US 5,645,592) (“Nicolais”).**

Sun discloses the invention as substantially claimed (see above). However, the sponge of Sun is not specifically disclosed as being comprised of a HEMA-methyl methacrylate copolymer. Sponges and hydrogels are known equivalents to those skilled in the art. Nicolais, in the analogous art, teaches the use of a hydrogel comprised of a HEMA-methyl methacrylate

copolymer C7L20-44 in order to increase water absorption. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the sponge of Sun by coating it with HEMA-methyl methacrylate copolymer as taught by Nicolais for the purpose of increasing water absorption.

Claim 30 is interpreted as a product by process claim (see MPEP 2113) which is read as a product claim. Thus, the sponge of Sun/Nicolais is made of a hydroxyl methyl acrylate and ethylene glycol dimethacrylate copolymer.

***Response to Arguments***

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DEANNA K. HALL whose telephone number is (571)272-2819. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00am-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Sirmons can be reached on 571-272-4965. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Deanna K. Hall/  
Examiner, Art Unit 3767  
11/3/09  
/Kevin C. Sirmons/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3767